PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY PCT MICHAEL J. MALLIE BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025 WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing 21 MAR 2003 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within TWO months 42390.P14868XPCT from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US02/07672 15 MARCH 2002 16 MARCH 2001 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H01S 3/10, 3/08 and US Cl.: 372/20, 92 Applicant NEW FOCUS, INC. 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion I Η Priority Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US	Authorized officer	
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 308-6218	£

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)\*

4. The final date by which the international preliminary

examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 16 JULY 2003

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/07672

I. Basis of the opinion		
With regard to the elements of the international application	rion.#	<del></del>
X the international application as originally f		
the description.	ned	
pages1-34	·	
NONE		
	, filed with the letter of	_ , filed with the demand
	, med with the letter of	
X the claims:	·	
pages35-41		, as originally filed
	, as amended (together with any s	
pages NONE filed a		_ , filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed v	with the letter of	
X the drawings:		
, 120		
		, as originally filed
pages NONE	, filed with the letter of	_ , med with the demand
•	,	
X the sequence listing part of the description:		
pagesNONE		, as originally filed
pagesNONE		, filed with the demand
pagesNONE	, filed with the letter of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
These elements were available or furnished to this Au  the language of a translation furnished for the language of publication of the internation the language of the translation furnished for the or 55.3).	the purposes of international search (uponal application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	under Rule 23.1(b)).
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid so drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:</li> </ol>	equence disclosed in the international appl	ication, the written opinion was
contained in the international application in	printed form	
filed together with the international applica		
furnished subsequently to this Authority in		
furnished subsequently to this Authority in		
The statement that the subsequently furnished international application as filed has been furn	written sequence listing does not go be nished.	yond the disclosure in the
The statement that the information recorded in c been furnished.	computer readable form is identical to the	writen sequence listing has
4. X The amendments have resulted in the cance	ellation of:	
X the description, pages NONE		
X the claims, Nos. NONE		
X the drawings, sheets/fig NONE		
5. This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the	amendments had not been made, since the	y have been considered to go
beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in th  * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the rec in this opinion as "originally filed".		nder Article 14 are referred to

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/07672

	•		
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	VE
	Claims	1-54	_ YE _ NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claime	VAVE	_
michaire out (10)	Claims Claims	NONE 1-54	_ YE
•			_ NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-54	YE
••	Claims	NONE	_ YE NO
		vious design preference since such techniques are well-kno out in PCT Article 33(+), because the claimed subject ma	
OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL PROGRAMS E TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 (703) 305-0843	EXAMINER		

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/07672

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.